

#### UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

RANPPO305USA

LUCASSEN NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 12/09/99 09/453,480

QM22/0910

DON W BULSON ESQ RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR PLL NINETEENTH FLOOR 1621 EUCLID AVENUE CLEVELAND OH 44115-2191

KIM,⊏	EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

**DATE MAILED:** 

KIM, E

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/453,480	LUCASSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Eugene Kim		
Period for Reply	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may only within the statutory minimum of I will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mete, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2 2 4 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>			
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But a See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

ļ

Application/Control Number: 09/453,480

Art Unit: 3721

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson (#3,799,039). Johnson shows a cushioning conversion machine which draws the stock material from the stock supply and converts the stock material into a strip of cushioning with a plurality of constant entry guide rollers/rods 26, 28, 30 at an upstream end of the machine.

# 1,2,3,0-10

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Ratzel (#5,713,825). Johnson does not show a biased damper and separators as claimed. The plies of Johnson are separated to different guide means. Ratzel shows a biased damper 70 and a plurality of separators 75-77 in a

Application/Control Number: 09/453,480

Art Unit: 3721

dunnage conversion machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Johnson with a biased damper and separators as taught by Ratzel to provide tension on the web and to separate the webs.

- 3. Claims 4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simmons (#5,569,146). Simmons shows a conversion machine with a moving blade 72 with a shutter means 73 that moves with blade 72 to block the strip path in an extended position.
- 4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simmons in view of Huston et al (#5,213,867). Simmons does not show the shutter being mounted on a holder as claimed. Huston et al show a cutting blade 40 mounted on holder 38 with shutter means on the side of the blade 40 as shown in figures 12 -15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Simmons with a mounting holder as taught by Huston et al to provide for more stability in the cutting operation. Regarding the flush limitation, the shutter means of Huston et al has a surface flush with an upstream surface of the moving blade wherein the blade is attached to the shutter means.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Kim whose telephone number is (703)308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 a.m 6:00 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

Art Unit: 3721

Eugene Kim September 5, 2001